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Reliable correlations among structure, composition, and function in heterogeneous catalysis require well-defined atomic connectivity within active structures and the assessment of the specific elementary steps and reaction intermediates responsible for the relevant catalytic function. The non-uniform nature of typical active structures creates significant challenges because probes of structure and function average such heterogeneity in complex ways. Polyoxometalate (POM) clusters with stable Keggin structures and well-defined atomic connectivity provide the compositional diversity required for a rigorous assessment of the consequences of composition on catalytic reactivity.

We describe herein the effects of the central atom X (P, Si, Al, and Co) in Keggin-type POM clusters (H₈X⁻W₁₂O₄₀; H₈XW) on acid strength based on calculated deprotonation enthalpies, which reflect intrinsic acid strength, and reactivity, based on a rigorous analysis of elementary rate constants, using 2-butanol dehydration as a probe reaction. Previous studies have not reported intrinsic acid properties for these materials and treated reactivity merely in terms of measured rates without the mechanistic interpretations required for meaningful composition–function relations. [1]

The ubiquitous aggregation and incomplete and environment-dependent accessibility[2,3] of POM clusters was minimized by dispersing them onto SiO₂ supports. The number of accessible protons, required for rigorous measurements of turnover rates, was determined by titration with pyridine during catalysis. We conclude that C–O bond breaking in chemisorbed butanol monomers is the kinetically relevant step, while butanol dimers that form by solvation of adsorbed butanol with another butanol molecule are unreactive spectators. Measured turnover rates depend on the rate constant for C–O cleavage and on the equilibrium constant for dimer formation; their values were obtained from the measured effects of 2-butanol pressure on dehydration rates. Both constants increased with increasing valence of the central atom, as the deprotonation enthalpy—a measure of the relative stability of the conjugate base—decreased.

Supported POM clusters catalyze 2-butanol dehydration at low temperatures (333–373 K) without detectable deactivation or structural changes. Reaction rates decreased sharply with increasing 2-butanol pressure (Figure 1) on all POM catalysts, as reported also for ethanol dehydration on bulk crystalline H₃PW₁₂[4]. This behavior reflects solvation of reactive C₆H₁₂OH⁺ intermediates to form less-reactive (C₂H₅OH)₂H⁺ dimers. ¹³C NMR and infrared spectra, and ethanol uptakes confirmed these conclusions.¹³ The measured kinetic response for 2-butanol dehydration also reflects the formation of unreactive co-adsorbed 2-butanol dimers. Theoretical estimates of the enthalpy of formation of butanol dimers by interactions of butanol with a monomer (−88.1 kJ mol⁻¹ for H₃PW) confirmed the stable and unreactive nature of such dimers.

The identity of the central atom influenced 2-butanol dehydration rates on SiO₂-supported POM clusters (0.04H₈XW/Si; 0.04POM nm⁻² surface density; Figure 1). At 2-butanol pressures below 0.1 kPa, dehydration rates decreased in the sequence: H₃PW > H₅AlW > H₆CoW, whereas these trends were essentially reversed at higher pressures.

Figure 1. 2-Butanol dehydration rate r (in 10⁻³ mol 2-butanol molecules s⁻¹ molecules 2-butanol POM⁻¹) as a function of 2-butanol pressure for 0.04H₃PW/Si (●), 0.04H₅AlW/Si (■), 0.04H₆CoW/Si (▲), and 0.04H₅AlW/Si (▼) (343 K, 0.04POM nm⁻², conversion < 10%).
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higher pressures (H₄SiW > H₃SiW > H₄CoW > H₄PW). Thus, composition–function relations and the underlying effects of acid strength cannot be discerned by mere inspection of these rates, without their rigorous interpretation in terms of rate and equilibrium constants for elementary steps.

A plausible sequence of elementary steps includes 2-butanol adsorption on Brønsted acid sites, its irreversible decomposition through E1 or E2 elimination pathways, the reversible desorption of butene isomers, and the formation of unreactive protonated dimers (Scheme 1). At low convers-

sions, water coadsorption does not influence measured rates because of the large excess of 2-butanol and the lower adsorption energies calculated for H₂O (−67 kJ mol⁻¹) relative to 2-butanol monomers (−77 kJ mol⁻¹).

Elimination can occur through E1 or E2 pathways.⁶,E⁷ E2 routes involve concerted cleavage of C–O and C–H bonds in butanol monomers using acid–base pairs and form one butene molecule, one OH group, and adsorbed water which is subsequently desorbed. E1 pathways cleave C–O bonds to form water molecules and adsorbed butoxides; the latter undergo H-abstraction and desorb as butene isomers. On 0.04H₃PW/Si, the cis/trans ratios in 2-butenes formed by 2-butanol dehydrogenation and through 1-butenes (double-bond isomerization; extrapolated to zero conversion) are similar (0.95 vs. 0.97) and much larger than the thermodynamic value (0.40). These similar stereoselectivities reflect a common sec-butyl alkoxide intermediate as the source of butenes in these two reactions, and indicate the prevalence of E1 routes that involve them. 1-Butene isomerization rates were much larger (0.8 (POMs)⁻¹) than 2-butanol dehydrogenation rates (0.02–0.09 (POMs)⁻¹) at 343 K on 0.04H₃PW/Si, indicating that H-elimination from butoxide intermediates, required also in double-bond isomerization turnovers, occurs much faster than butene formation by 2-butanol dehydrogenation. Thus, the step that forms these butoxide intermediates (C–O cleavage in E1 pathways) must be the kinetically relevant step in dehydrogenation catalysis. DFT calculations also indicate that C–O cleavage is kinetically relevant and that reactions occur through carbenium ion transition states stabilized by inter-

actions with the anionic Keggin structure (Figure 2). The calculated activation energy for C–O bond breaking is 132 kJ mol⁻¹ (H₄PW), whereas the 2-butene desorption activation energy is significantly lower (88 kJ mol⁻¹). The level of substitution at the carbon atom bearing the OH group, increased by using 1-butanol, 2-butanol, and tert-butanol as reactants, markedly increased the dehydration rates (k₁, butant, k₂, butant, k₄, tert-butant) = 1:2000:1 × 10⁶ (343 K), consistent with the kinetic relevance of C–O bond cleavage and with the ionic character of the E1 elimination transition state.

The elementary steps in Scheme 1 lead, with the assumptions of a quasi-equilibrated step 4 and adsorbed 2-butanol (step 1) and 2-butanol dimers (step 4) as most abundant surface species, to Equation (1) for rates measured at low conversions (see Supporting Information for derivation).

$$r = \frac{k_{c[H^+]} \frac{K_1[C_4H_9OH]}{1 + K_1[C_4H_9OH]}}$$

The $k_1$ and $K_1$ terms represent the 2-butanol decomposition rate constant and the equilibrium constant for dimer formation, respectively (Scheme 1), and [H⁺] is the number of accessible proton sites. Equation (1) accurately describes the pressure dependence of measured rates, as shown by the linear dependence of inverse rates on 2-butanol pressure (Figure 3). At low 2-butanol pressures (< 0.1 kPa), the value of $k_1$[H⁺] determines dehydration rates; at higher 2-butanol pressures, rates depend on $(k_1$[H⁺])/$K_1$. As a result, the ranking of catalysts and the role of central atom and of acid strength are substantially different at high and low reactant pressures.

A regression analysis of the pressure dependence of dehydration rates (per POM) gave accurate estimates for $k_1$[H⁺] and $K_1$[H⁺] values determined by titration with pyridine during the catalytic reaction, because accessibility constraints within secondary POM structures can depend sensitively on the size and polarity of reactants and products. The number of accessible protons sites [H⁺] was
similar to that expected from stoichiometry in all samples (e.g. 0.04 H₃PW/Si; 2.9 [H⁺] measured; Table 1), consistent with intact clusters and with weak interactions between protons and silanols on silica surfaces.

Table 1: Proton site density [H⁺], alkoxo formation rate constant k₄, and the 2-butanol dimer formation equilibrium constant K (see Scheme 1) for 2-butanol dehydration on 0.04 H₃XW/Si.[a]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X = P⁵⁺, Si⁴⁺, Al³⁺, and Co²⁺; 0.04 POM nm⁻² surface density; 343 K, 101 kPa He, 0.05–0.6 kPa 2-butanol.</th>
<th>H⁺</th>
<th>k₄</th>
<th>K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.04 H₃PW/Si</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04 H₃SiW/Si</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04 H₃AlW/Si</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04 H₄CoW/Si</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] HA is the acid, and A⁻ is the conjugate base; X = P⁵⁺, Si⁴⁺, and Al³⁺. [b] In kJ mol⁻¹.

Figure 3: 2-Butanol decomposition rate constant k₄ (in 10⁻² molecules 2-butanol (H⁺)⁻¹ s⁻¹) (closed symbols) and dimer formation equilibrium constant K (open symbols) as a function of deprotonation enthalpy, defined as ∆H_dep of HA → A⁻ + H⁺ (HA is the acid, and A⁻ is the conjugate base) and calculated by DFT. (0.04 H₃PW/Si (●), 0.04 H₃SiW/Si (●), 0.04 H₃AlW/Si (●), 0.04 H₄CoW/Si (●), and H-BEA (●). — denotes the deprotonation energy range reported for different zeolite catalysts.[b]

Table 2: DFT-calculated deprotonation enthalpies DPE (ΔH_dep of HA → A⁻ + H⁺), activation energies for the alkoxo formation step (E_act,alkoxo), and 2-butanol dimer formation enthalpies (ΔH_rxn,alkoxo) (see Scheme 1) for 2-butanol dehydration on H₃XW/Si.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DPE[b]</th>
<th>E_act,alkoxo[b]</th>
<th>ΔH_rxn,alkoxo[b]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₃PW</td>
<td>1087</td>
<td>132.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₃SiW</td>
<td>1105</td>
<td>140.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₃AlW</td>
<td>1121</td>
<td>145.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] HA is the acid, and A⁻ is the conjugate base; X = P⁵⁺, Si⁴⁺, and Al³⁺.
[b] In kJ mol⁻¹.

Both k₄ and K decreased in parallel with decreasing oxidation state of X in H₃X₂W₁₂ clusters (P > Si > Al > Co, Table 1) and as the number of charge-balancing protons increased. Activation barriers calculated for C–O cleavage in 2-butanol and dimer formation energies from DFT follow trends with central atom similar to those measured experimentally. The observed trends in k₄ and K values parallel the effects of central atom on the enolhy on removing the first proton from H₃X₂W₁₂ clusters (Figure 4, and Table 2). The deprotonation enthalpy is defined as that for AH → A⁻ + H⁺ (AH is the neutral cluster; A⁻ is the deprotonated conjugate base). Deprotonation enthalpies were calculated using density functional theory (DFT),[b] they reflect the relative stability of the conjugate base and the intrinsic acid strength of the neutral cluster. These enthalpies increased (1087 kJ mol⁻¹ (H₃PW), 1143 kJ mol⁻¹ (H₃CoW)), and the conjugate base became less stable, as the oxidation state of the central atom X decreased and the number of protons per cluster concurrently increased. Deprotonation enthalpies rigorously rank solid Brønsted acids in terms of their acid strength. The values estimated by DFT suggest that Keggin-type POM clusters (1087–1143 kJ mol⁻¹) are stronger acids than H₂SO₄ (1293 kJ mol⁻¹) and CF₃SO₃H (1248 kJ mol⁻¹) and comparable to the CB₃H₆H carborane acid (1084 kJ mol⁻¹).[b]

The values of k₄ and K were similarly affected by POM deprotonation enthalpy because butyl carbenium ion transition states and unreactive protonated 2-butanol dimers are significantly ion in character and benefit from the effective delocalization of the concomitant negative charge by POM clusters.[b] In contrast, charge is highly localized on inorganic insulators, such as the silicate framework in zeolites, and deprotonation enthalpies are significantly higher for zeolites (ranging from 1171 (zeolite Y) to 1200 kJ mol⁻¹ (ZSM-S)) than for POM clusters. On H-BEA, the higher deprotonation enthalpy led also to lower k₄ and K values; these data fell on the same correlation with deprotonation enthalpy as the various H₃X₂W clusters (Figure 4). We note that the range of deprotonation enthalpies among various zeolite structures (Y, CHA, MOR, MFI) (1171–1200 kJ mol⁻¹; 29 kJ mol⁻¹ range)[b] is smaller than for the various POM structures reported herein (1087–1143 kJ mol⁻¹; 56 kJ mol⁻¹ range). POM clusters
therefore provide a greater range of acid strengths useful in the practice of Brønsted acid catalysis.

The central atom strongly influences the reactivity of protons in Keggin-type POM clusters through the combined effects on the rate constant of C–O bond breaking $k_{\text{C–O}}$ and the equilibrium constant for the formation of unreactive 2-butanol dimers $K_{\text{C–O}}$. Both increased in parallel as the oxidation state of the central atom X in $\text{H}_{2+n}X_{12}W_{n}$ increased ($\text{Co} < \text{Al} < \text{Si} < \text{P}$) and the deprotonation enthalpy concurrently decreased, because of the ionic character of the transition state in C–O cleavage and of the 2-butanol dimer. Reaction rates reflect $k_{\text{C–O}}$ and $K_{\text{C–O}}$ values in a manner that leads to compensating effects and to rates that benefit from stronger acids at low butanol pressures but from weaker acids at higher pressures. 2-Butanol dehydration rates (at 0.5 kPa 2-butanol pressure) increased by a factor of 2.6 as deprotonation enthalpies decreased by 34 kJ mol$^{-1}$ ($\text{H}_2\text{AlW} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{PW}$; Figure 1).

Earlier, van Santen and Kramer proposed, based on electronic structure calculations, a relation between the stability of cationic species present as transition states and the deprotonation energies of Brønsted acids.$^{[13]}$ Our study provides experimental verification for this proposal for the dehydration of 2-butanol on POM clusters in terms of a rigorous analysis of turnover rates in terms of rate and equilibrium constants for elementary steps. The relationship between the stability of transition states and of intermediates and the intrinsic acid strength is essential to design materials with specific reactivity and selectivity in acid catalysis. Indeed, activation barriers for steps involving ionic transition states benefit from lower deprotonation enthalpies, but these steps may not limit overall catalytic rates. Deprotonation enthalpies also influence the stability of ionic intermediates of varying reactivity, leading to compensating effects that cause rates that increase or decrease with increasing deprotonation enthalpy depending on the relative concentrations of reactive and unreactive intermediates.

**Experimental Section**

H$_3$PW$_{12}$O$_{40}$ (Aldrich), H$_3$SiW$_{12}$O$_{40}$ (Aldrich, 99.9%), H$_3$AlW$_{12}$O$_{40}$ (prepared as in Ref.[12]), and H$_2$CoW$_{12}$O$_{40}$ clusters (prepared as in Ref.[13,14]) were deposited onto SiO$_2$ (Cab-O-Sil, 304 m$^2$g$^{-1}$), with volume 1.5 cm$^3$g$^{-1}$, using impregnation with 1.5 cm$^3$ of ethanol (Aldrich, anhydrous 99.5%)—H$_3$PW, H$_3$SiW, H$_3$AlW, or H$_2$CoW solutions per gram of dry SiO$_2$. Impregnated samples were treated in flowing dry air (Praxair, extra-dry) at 323 K for 24 h. H-BEA (Zeolyst) with Si/Al 12.5:1 was used.

Catalytic 2-butanol dehydration rates and selectivities were measured at 343 K in a quartz flow cell (1.0 cm inner diameter) containing samples (1–100 mg of catalysts (125–180 μm) diluted with acid-washed quartz (≈ 70 mg, 125–180 μm)) held on a porous quartz disc. Temperatures were measured using type thermocouples and set using a Watlow controller (Series 982) and a resistively-heated furnace. Samples were treated in flowing He (80 cm$^3$min$^{-1}$, Praxair, UHP (He), extra-dry (air)) at 343 K for 1 h before catalytic measurements. Thermal treatments in He or air (80 cm$^3$min$^{-1}$, Praxair, UHP) at 373–575 K did not influence measured rates. Transfer lines were held at 393 K to prevent adsorption or condensation of reactants, products, and titrants before chromatographic analysis. Butanol reactants (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.5% (2-butanol), 99.8% (1-butanol), 99.5% (tert-butanol, anhydrous)) were introduced as a liquid using a syringe pump (Cole Parmer, 74900 series) and vaporized at 393 K by injection into flowing He (Praxair, UHP). 1-Butene (Scott Specialty Gases, 99%) flow rates, liquid 2-butanol introduction rates and He flow rates were adjusted to give desired reactant pressures and to keep conversions low (< 10%) and relatively constant among various catalyst samples. Reactant and product concentrations were measured by gas chromatography using flame ionization detection (Agilent 6890N GC, 50 m HP-1 column). Only butene products of dehydration reactions were detected (1-butene, cis-2-butene, and trans-2-butene). Brønsted acid sites were titrated by introducing liquid mixtures of 2-butanol reactants (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.5%, anhydrous) with pyridine (Aldrich, 99.9%) into flowing He to give 0.5 kPa 2-butanol and 0.9 Pa Pyridine. The amount of titrant adsorbed on the catalyst was measured from measurements of its concentration in the effluent stream using the chromatographic protocols described above for 2-butanol dehydration.

Calculations were carried out using a periodic plane wave density functional theory code VASP.$^{[15-16]}$ The generalized gradient approximation of the Perdew-Wang form (PW91) was used to correct exchange energies.$^{[15]}$ A cutoff energy of 396.0 eV defined the plane wave basis set expansion and ultrasoft pseudopotentials$^{[18]}$ were used to model the electron–ion interactions. The Keggin structure was placed in the center of a 20 × 20 × 20 Å$^3$ supercell to allow for a sufficient vacuum region between neighboring Keggin structures. A single Γ-point was found to be sufficient to sample the first Brillouin zone.$^{[19]}$ All reported structures were optimized to force values below 0.05 eV per atom. The climbing nudged elastic band method was used to locate transition states.$^{[20]}$
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$^{[5]}$ The additional stability gained by the formation of [R1-O-H-O-R2]+ cationic hydrogen bonds is well known, see *Molecular Structure and Enegretics, Vol. 4* (Eds.: J. F. Lieberman, A. Greenberg), VCH, Weinheim, 1987, pp. 74–142, and references therein. The well-known H$_2$O$_2$ ion is another example.
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