Catalysis by Confinement: Enthalpic Stabilization of NO Oxidation Transition States by Microporous and Mesoporous Siliceous Materials
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ABSTRACT: Mesoporous silica and purely siliceous zeolites with voids of molecular dimensions (MFI, CHA, BEA) catalyze NO oxidation by O2 at near ambient temperatures (263–473 K) with reaction orders in NO and O2 identical to those for homogeneous routes and with negative apparent activation energies. These findings reflect the stabilization of termolecular transition states by physisorption on surfaces or by confinement within voids in processes mediated by van der Waals forces and without the involvement of specific binding sites. Such interactions lead to the enthalpic stabilization of transition states relative to the gaseous reactants; such enthalpic benefits compensate concomitant entropy losses upon confinement because of the preeminent role of enthalpy in Gibbs free energies at low temperatures. These data and their mechanistic interpretation provide clear evidence for the mediation of molecular transformations by confinement without specific chemical binding at active sites.

1. INTRODUCTION

The homogeneous oxidation of nitric oxide (NO) to nitrogen dioxide (NO2) with O2 as the oxidant has been implicated in stratospheric O3 depletion and in photochemical smog and acid rain in the troposphere. Bodenstein and Wackenheim first showed that reaction rates are proportional to O2 pressure and second order in NO pressure. This kinetic dependence reflects the stoichiometry of this reaction and was confirmed by later studies over a broader range of NO pressure (10−6 to 1 bar) and temperature (140–650 K). These findings suggest the involvement of a prototypical termolecular transition state with significant entropic barriers, but a more precise mechanistic consensus about the reactive species involved and the connectivity of the atoms in the termolecular transition state has remained elusive. NO oxidation is highly exothermic (ΔH° = −114 kJ mol−1) and exhibits small and negative apparent activation energies (≈−4 kJ mol−1), only the entropic barriers — and the concomitantly small pre-exponential factors — prevent NO oxidation from occurring rapidly at ambient temperatures.

NO oxidation is an enabling chemical transformation in selective catalytic NO2 reduction (SCR) by NH3 on metal-exchanged zeolites7−11 and in NOx storage-reduction (NSR) systems.12,13 Microporous solids, even without active metals or protons, catalyze NO oxidation below ambient temperature.14 Pentasil (MFI) zeolites containing acid sites (ZSM-5, Si/Al≈18)15 catalyze these reactions to near complete conversion at ambient temperatures, but rates decrease sharply with increasing temperature; such remarkable reactivity and the negative apparent activation energies observed remain unexplained in mechanistic terms at this time. Similar NO oxidation rates (per mass) were reported on MFI zeolites with different acid site densities (Si/Al = 18−180). Taken together with the observed catalytic effectiveness of NH4-exchanged MOR,16 these data suggest that neither acid sites nor the specific structures of MFI voids are essential for NO oxidation catalysis. Infrared spectra show several adsorbed species (NO, N2O4, NO+, NO3−, and N2O3) during contact of NO and O2 on H-MFI, Cu-MFI, CuH-MFI, Na−Y, and Ba−Y, but without concurrent measurements of the reactivity of these materials at relevant catalytic temperatures. Such species, formed via interactions of NO, NO2 and O2 with protons or exchanged cations, do not appear to be required for NO oxidation catalysis, which occurs readily on purely siliceous microporous and mesoporous solids.

These high NO oxidation rates on microporous (alumino)-silicates at ambient temperatures stand in sharp contrast with the much higher temperatures required on supported metals (≈500 K) and seem to warrant a more precise mechanistic interpretation. Here, we examine the kinetic effects of reactant concentrations and the NO oxidation activation barriers on amorphous mesoporous silica and on microporous purely siliceous forms of pentasil (MFI), beta (BEA), and chabazite (CHA) zeolites. These data confirm the remarkable reactivity of microporous siliceous frameworks, even in the absence of specific sites for chemical binding. These findings, taken
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together with the measured negative activation barriers, lead us to conclude that reactivity is induced by mere physical confinement through van der Waals forces, which provide significant enthalpic stabilization for the relevant termolecular transition states. In doing so, this study provides compelling evidence for the preeminent influence of enthalpy over that of entropy in transition state stabilization at low temperatures, as well as one of the clearest practical manifestations of what has been described in colloquial terms as physical catalysis.18

2. EXPERIMENTAL METHODS

2.1. Synthesis and Characterization. MFI (silicalite-1, SIL-1) was prepared using the fluoride method.20 Synthesis gels with molar ratios of 1 SiO2/0.1 TPAOH/0.1 HF/33 H2O were prepared by mixing fumed silica (Cabosil M5) with an aqueous solution of tetrapropylammonium hydroxide (TPAOH; Alfa Aesar, 40 wt %) for 2 h. Aqueous HF (Alfa Aesar, 48 wt %) was then added and the mixture was stirred for 4 h. The resulting gel (pH ∼ 5.5) was placed within Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave (Parr; 23 cm3) and kept at 448 K under autogenous pressure for 14 days without stirring. After cooling to ambient temperature, the solids were recovered by filtration, washed with DI water until the pH of the filtrate was lower than 9, and left overnight in ambient air. The structure-directing agent was removed by heating to 823 K at 0.05 K s−1 in ambient air and holding for 6 h.

Silicalite-1 (SIL-1D) with intracrystalline silanols was prepared using OH− instead of F− as the mineralizing agent.21 SIL-1D was prepared from a gel of molar composition 40 SiO2/9 TPAOH/1500 H2O using Ludox-AS40 (Aldrich) as the silica source.21 This gel was prepared by adding the Ludox suspension to an aqueous solution of TPAOH (40% Alfa Aesar) and stirring for 5 h; this gel (pH > 13) was placed in a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave (23 cm3; Parr) and kept at 423 K under autogenous pressure for 6 h without stirring. The solids were recovered by filtration, washed with deionized water until the filtrate pH was <9, kept in ambient air overnight, and then treated as in the case of SIL-1, but holding at 878 K for 10 h.

Pure-silica BEA was prepared using 4,4-trimethylene bis-N-methyl-N-benzylpiperidinium hydroxide (TMP(OH)2) as the structure director (SD); prepared using reported procedures22), tetraethylorthosilicate (TEOS) as the silica source, and gels of molar composition 1 TEOS/20 H2O/0.25 TMP(OH)2. All reagents were stirred vigorously within a sealed plastic container until the hydrolysis of TEOS was confirmed by the formation of a clear solution (∼3 h). This solution was kept at 393 K for 5 days within a Teflon-lined autoclave (23 cm3; Parr) at its autogenous pressure without stirring. The solids were recovered by centrifugation (200 Hz); the process was repeated with fresh water aliquots until the pH of the supernatant solution was below 9. The organic structure director was removed by heating to 823 K at 0.08 K s−1 in ambient air and holding for 4 h.

Pure-silica chabazite (CHA) was prepared in fluoride media using reported procedures.23 Trimethyladamantylammonium hydroxide (Sachem) was used as the structure director, and TEOS as the silica source. The samples were treated in ambient air first by heating to 423 K at 0.17 K s−1 and holding for 10 h and then by heating at 0.03 K s−1 to 823 K and holding for 6 h.

Amorphous mesoporous SiO2 (Selecto, Fisher Scientific, 32–63 μm aggregates) was used to probe the reactivity of silicate surfaces in the absence of microporous confinement. This sample was treated in flowing He (Praxair, 99.999% purity; 33.3 cm3 g−1 s−1) by heating at 0.08 K s−1 to 773 K and holding for 4 h.

Crystal structures were confirmed by X-ray diffraction (Philips X’pert diffractometer) using a Cu Kα source (5° to 50° 2θ, 0.02° step size, 2 s hold). Textural properties were measured using N2 adsorption (Micromeritics ASAP 2020) after treatment in vacuum at 573 K for 8 h. Micropore volumes were determined using the t-plot method with the Harkins–Jura equation to estimate the statistical thickness l.24,25

2.2. NO Oxidation Rates and Mechanism. NO oxidation rates were measured on powders (125–177 μm, 80–120 mesh) held onto a porous quartz frit within a U-shaped quartz tube (10 mm O.D.). Reactant mixtures (15% O2/He, 2% NO/He, Praxair 99.999% purity) and He (Praxair, 99.999% purity) were metered with electronic mass-flow controllers (Porter Instruments) to give the desired O2 (1–10 kPa) and NO (0.025–0.5 kPa) pressures. Water traps were kept on transfer lines to the reactor in order to avoid H2O within the zeolite bed. Temperatures (278–423 K) were measured with a K-type thermocouple and maintained constant by resistive heating using a temperature controller (Watlow, 96 series). Temperatures above ambient levels were kept constant using the gaseous effluent from a liquid N2 Dewar vessel.

Inlet and outlet concentrations of NO and NO2 streams were measured with an infrared analyzer (MKS Multi Gas Analyzer 2030, 2 cm3 cell; 2 cm path length). NO oxidation rates are reported normalized by catalyst surface area (moles NO converted m−2 s−1), because of the absence of specific sites or heteroatoms in purely siliceous materials. Rates were measured at NO and O2 conversions below 20% and plug-flow hydrodynamic formalisms were used to correct for small changes in reactant concentrations along the bed and to report rates at inlet conditions even at these low conversions. Rates are also reported on the basis of zeolite micropore volume (see Table 1) defined as the pore space occupied by nitrogen via absorption technique. This allows direct comparison of catalytic rates within and outside constrained spaces of subnanometer size. Samples were treated in flowing 5% O2/He mixtures (Praxair 99.999% purity, 33.3 cm3 g−1 s−1) by heating to 723 K at 0.03 K s−1 and holding for 4 h to remove traces of adsorbed water and other species before rate measurements.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Structural Properties of Porous Silicate Materials. X-ray diffractograms showed that all microporous samples were highly crystalline and did not show detectable crystallographic impurities. Their micropore volumes were consistent with the intended framework structures,6,21 except for BEA, for which the measured micropore volume (0.17 cm3 g−1) was smaller than theoretical values (0.22–0.24 cm3 g−1).27,28 There is no apparent reason for this difference, because all characterization
techniques (XRD, SEM, and UV–vis spectroscopy) indicate that the samples are highly crystalline and lack detectable impurities. In our analysis below, we use the measured micropore volumes of these zeolite samples (Table 1) to quantify specific reaction rates on a volumetric basis. Al was not detected in any of the samples by energy dispersive analysis spectroscopy in a JEOL JSM7400F microscope.

3.2. NO Oxidation Rates on Microporous and Mesoporous Silicates. NO oxidation rates were measured as a function of NO and O₂ pressures (50–450 Pa NO, 1–10 kPa O₂) at 278–435 K on silica, SIL-1, SIL-1D, CHA, and BEA. Measured NO consumption rates (ṙNO) reflect net reaction rates, given by differences between the rates in the forward and reverse directions for this reaction (NO oxidation (ṙNO) and NO₂ decomposition (ṙNO₂) rates):

\[ 2\text{NO} + \text{O}_2 \leftrightarrow 2\text{NO}_2 \]  

Measured rates are related to the forward rates by the approach to equilibrium parameter (η) for the stoichiometric reaction depicted in eq 1:

\[ r_{\text{NO}} = r_{\text{NO}}^+ - r_{\text{NO}}^- = r_{\text{NO}}(1 - \eta) \]  

\[ \eta = \left(1 - \frac{[\text{NO}_2]^2}{K_1[^{\text{NO}}][^{\text{O}_2}]}\right) \]  

where \( K_1 \) is the equilibrium constant for the NO oxidation reaction as written in eq 1 and \([\text{NO}], [\text{NO}_2], \text{and } [\text{O}_2] \) are the reactant and product concentrations (in units of atms). Forward NO oxidation rates are then given from measured rates by

\[ r_{\text{NO}}^+ = \frac{r_{\text{NO}}}{(1 - \eta)} \]  

Figure 1a shows forward NO oxidation areal rates on SIL-1 and SIL-1D (100 Pa NO, 5 kPa O₂, 278–435 K). NO oxidation rates are also reported on a reactor volume basis (Figure 1b) in order to assess any contributions from homogeneous reactions in comparisons between experiments with and without siliceous solids within the reactor.

Silicalite-1 samples prepared in hydroxide media (SIL-1D) typically show high concentrations of defects, such as missing framework atoms and H-bonded silanols. Fluoride synthesis protocols (SIL-1) avoid these defects and the concomitant formation of silanol groups (below detection levels by \(^{29}\text{Si MAS NMR})\). These differences in silanol densities between SIL-1 and SIL-1D did not lead to different NO oxidation rates (Figure 1), indicating that silanols or other defects do not preferentially catalyze NO oxidation near ambient temperatures. Areal NO oxidation rates were also measured on amorphous silica (Selecto, surface area 446 m²g⁻¹) at 100 Pa NO, 5 kPa O₂, and 278–435 K (Figure 1a). Areal rates were 15–50 times smaller on amorphous silica than on SIL-1 in this temperature range (Figure 1a), but much higher than homogeneous rates (Figure 1b). These data indicate that amorphous siliceous surfaces, even without voids of nanometer size, catalyze NO oxidation rates; such surfaces are, however, much less effective than siliceous surfaces within small channels or voids, which appear to solvate the relevant transition states for NO oxidation more effectively than the surfaces of mesopores.

Homogeneous reaction rates were also measured in empty reactors at these conditions (100 Pa NO, 5 kPa O₂, 278–435 K); these rates are reported in Figure 1b, together with those measured on amorphous SiO₂, SIL-1D, and SIL-1. All rates in Figure 1b are normalized by the total reactor volume in order to illustrate the catalytic enhancements brought forth by purely siliceous solids (0.1 g for SIL and SIL-1, 0.8 g for silica). Homogeneous NO oxidation rates are much lower than in the presence of SIL-1 and SIL-1D samples at all temperatures (by factors of 20 to 10 000), indicating that microporous solids mediate the pathways responsible for measured NO oxidation rates. These catalytic pathways become increasingly prevalent with decreasing temperature, because their apparent activation energies are much more negative on siliceous solids than for homogeneous routes (Figure 1b). For instance, NO oxidation rates at 278 K on SIL-1D (0.1 g) are 4500 times larger than in empty reactors (4.4 cm³⁻¹), a difference that becomes much smaller (20-fold) at 435 K. These data also confirm that homogeneous contributions to measured rates can be neglected.
in the measurement and mechanistic analysis of all catalytic rate data reported here.

The evident catalytic properties of amorphous SiO2 (Figure 1b) indicate that mere physical adsorption on mesoporous surfaces can significantly increase NO oxidation rates over those for homogeneous routes; the even higher areal rates on SIL provide compelling evidence for the stabilization of the relevant transition states by confinement within voids of nanometer size, even in the absence of specific binding sites. These conclusions are consistent with NO oxidation pathways catalyzed also by other purely siliceous zeolites, such as beta (BEA; three-dimensional 12-ring pore system with 0.74 nm pore diameter)30 and chabazite (CHA; a three-dimensional pore system with 0.74 × 0.84 nm cages connected via 0.38 nm 8-ring windows)30 (Figure 2).

NO oxidation rates showed similar kinetic dependences on NO and O2 pressures and similar negative apparent barriers on pure silica BEA, CHA, and SIL (section 3.3). On all zeolites, areal rates were 15−20 times larger than on amorphous silica in the temperature range used (278−435 K). The mechanistic origins of such confinement effects are examined below in the context of the kinetic behavior and reaction pathways for NO oxidation via homogeneous and catalytic routes. Specifically, we address the origins of the negative apparent activation barriers and the molecularity of the relevant transition states within the context of mechanistic routes proposed previously for homogeneous NO oxidation.

3.3. Effect of NO and O2 Partial Pressure on NO Oxidation Rates. NO oxidation rates (r_{NO, gas}) were measured as a function of NO pressure (50−450 Pa NO, 1−10 kPa O2) on SIL-1 (0.1 g), BEA (0.1 g), CHA (0.3 g), and silica (0.8 g) as a function of NO pressure at two temperatures of (a) 305 K and (b) 331 K.

Such an equation has been reported to describe homogeneous rates accurately over a broad range of pressure and temperature.2,31,32

All catalytic rate data on SIL-1 (305 K, Figure 3a; 331 K, Figure 3b) were accurately described by the functional form of eq 5, suggesting that catalytic and homogeneous pathways involve kinetically relevant transition states containing two NO molecules and one O2 molecule. As in the case of any kinetic analysis, the specific connectivity among the atoms in these transition states is neither accessible from the data nor relevant to conclusions about the number and type of atoms at such transition states. These data also suggest that rate enhancements reflect the stabilization of these termolecular transition states by physical confinement (and even physisorption on flat surfaces) through nonspecific van der Waals forces, instead of different elementary steps for catalytic and homogeneous NO oxidation routes.

NO oxidation rates were also measured as a function of O2 pressure (1−10 kPa) at 100, 270, and 440 Pa NO at 305 K (Figure 3a) and at 100 and 270 Pa NO at 331 K (Figure 3b) on amorphous silica, SIL-1, BEA and CHA. These data are also accurately described by eq 5 as shown by the overlap of all rate data at different NO and O2 pressures (Figure 3) and show that the O2 molecules formed (at different concentrations in these experiments) do not influence forward NO oxidation rates. The different slopes among these siliceous materials merely reflect their different reactivity, conferred by confinement effects that strengthen as voids become similar in size to the termolecular transition states that mediate NO oxidation catalysis.
4. DISCUSSION

In what follows, we discuss plausible mechanistic proposals for the observed NO oxidation rate enhancements induced by siliceous frameworks, especially those with voids of molecular dimensions. In doing so, we preserve the termolecular nature (but not necessarily the specific atomic connectivity within) of the transition states previously proposed to mediate homogeneous routes. We explore the consequences of their confinement via nonspecific dispersion forces as the root cause of the high reactivity and negative apparent activation energies on these porous solids, which reflect enthalpic stabilization of the transition states relative to reactants without large commensurate losses in transition state entropies.

NO oxidation rates on mesoporous and microporous siliceous solids show the same NO and O2 kinetic dependences as in the homogeneous routes (section 3). These kinetic effects of reactant concentrations and the negative activation energies observed are consistent with the elementary steps in Scheme 1, which describe homogeneous pathways in the right panel and the corresponding steps upon adsorption or confinement of reactants and transition states in the left panel. Scheme 1a and b differ only in the nature of the intermediates and possibly in the (kinetically inaccessible) specific connectivity among the atoms present in the termolecular transition state. We include both possible routes for completeness and because of their unresolved respective contributions to homogeneous pathways, but the conclusions we reach are unaffected in any way by the specific choice of intermediates.

Scheme 1a shows the reversible formation of NO dimers and their subsequent irreversible reaction with O2 in a step mediated by a termolecular transition state. The right panel shows the rate equation derived from the assumption of pseudo-steady-state for NO dimer intermediates for homogeneous routes.

(a) NO oxidation via NO dimer intermediate and (b) NO oxidation via peroxinitrite radical intermediate in homogeneous and heterogeneous phases.
when dimer formation steps are quasi-equilibrated \((k_d \ll k_{-d})\), the contrasting assumption of irreversible NO dimer formation makes NO oxidation rates proportional to \((\text{NO})^2\) and independent of \((\text{O}_2)\), in contradiction with measured rates. The effective rate constant \((k_{eff} = k_d K_d)\) in eq 7 can be expressed in terms of activation energies and entropies using the formalism of transition state theory:

\[
\Delta E_{\text{hom}}^{\pm} = E_{\text{hom}}^{\pm} - 2E_{\text{NO}}(g) - E_{\text{O}_2}(g)
\]

(8)

\[
\Delta S_{\text{hom}}^{\pm} = S_{\text{hom}}^{\pm} - 2S_{\text{NO}}(g) - S_{\text{O}_2}(g)
\]

(9)

These relations show that thermodynamic properties of the transition state are "measured" relative to the gaseous reactants that form it in the context of the elementary steps in Scheme 1a and the observed rate equation.

The left panel in Scheme 1a considers the initial physisorption (on surfaces) or confinement (within voids) of \(\text{NO}(g)\) and \(\text{O}_2(g)\) within purely siliceous solids (to form \(\text{NO}^*\) and \(\text{O}_2^*\)) without specific binding sites. Here, the asterisk (*) represents species interacting within their surrounding environment via nonspecific interactions with a siliceous framework. The form of the measured rate equation implies that adsorbed \(\text{NO}^*\) and \(\text{O}_2^*\) intermediates on surfaces or within zeolite voids are present at concentrations well below saturation levels; in such cases, their concentrations become proportional to the respective pressures of \(\text{NO}\) and \(\text{O}_2\), through their respective adsorption constants \((K_{\text{NO}}^* \text{ and } K_{\text{O}_2}^*)\). These adsorbed or confined species then react via intermediates and transition states similar in composition to those that mediate homogeneous routes, but whose energies and entropies reflect their respective confinement within small voids. The corresponding rate equations for reversible and quasi-equilibrated dimer formation are

\[
r' = \frac{(k'_{fi}k'_{NO}k'_{O_2})(\text{NO})^2(\text{O}_2)}{1 + k'_{fi}K'_{\text{NO}}K'_{\text{O}_2}(\text{O}_2)^2}
\]

(10)

and

\[
r' = \frac{(k'_{fi}k'_{NO}k'_{O_2})(\text{NO})^2(\text{O}_2)}{1 + k'_{fi}K'_{\text{NO}}K'_{\text{O}_2}(\text{O}_2)^2}
\]

(11)

respectively. The functional form of eq 11 accurately describes all measured NO oxidation rates on SIL-1, BEA, and CHA zeolites and on mesoporous silica. The grouping of kinetic and thermodynamic parameters in eq 11 assigns chemical significance to the rate coefficients, which are given by the slopes of the lines in Figure 3.

The corresponding activation energies and entropies for the effective catalytic rate constant in eq 11 are given by

\[
\Delta E_{\text{het}}^{\pm} = E_{\text{het}}^{\pm} - 2E_{\text{NO}}(g) - E_{\text{O}_2}(g)
\]

(12)

\[
\Delta S_{\text{het}}^{\pm} = S_{\text{het}}^{\pm} - 2S_{\text{NO}}(g) - S_{\text{O}_2}(g)
\]

(13)

These relations show that thermodynamic properties of the transition state are "measured" relative to the gaseous reactants that form it in the context of the elementary steps in Scheme 1a and the observed rate equation.
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(N₂O₄⁺) transition state concentrations within small voids or at siliceous surfaces to NO and O₂ concentrations in the unconfined gas phase using thermodynamic relations. In doing so, it is necessary and convenient to choose standard states of similar units for gaseous and confined phases (see Appendix in the Supporting Information for details). We choose here 1 mol (m_ref⁻¹) as the standard state, where the relevant reference volume is taken as entire gaseous phase (m_homog⁻¹) for the homogeneous system and as the micropore volume (m_micropore⁻¹) for the zeolites, which rigorously represents the confined reaction space for zeolites (Table 1). On silica mesopores, we define the reactive volume from its surface area by assuming an active layer that mimics that of the relevant termolecular transition state. The latter is taken as the molecular diameter of N₂O₄ (0.58 nm, from its liquid termolecular transition state. The latter is taken as the relevant reference volume is taken as entire gaseous phase (m_homog⁻¹) for the homogeneous system and as the micropore volume (m_micropore⁻¹) for the zeolites, which rigorously represents the confined reaction space for zeolites (Table 1). On silica mesopores, we define the reactive volume from its surface area by assuming an active layer that mimics that of the relevant termolecular transition state. The latter is taken as the molecular diameter of N₂O₄ (0.58 nm, from its liquid density) and gives a reactive volume of 0.262 cm³ g⁻¹ for the amorphous SiO₂ used in this study. The reference volume is taken as that of this active layer (m_active⁻¹). This selection of consistent units for the standard state, which is essential for our subsequent treatment of kinetic parameters using the formalism of molecular thermodynamics and transition state theory.

Measured rate constants can be expressed for homogeneous and catalytic pathways on a volume basis as a function of the effective Gibbs free energy (ΔGₑffective), which defines the effective rate constant:

\[ k_{\text{eff}} = \frac{k_b T}{\hbar} \exp \left( -\frac{\Delta G_{\text{eff}}}{RT} \right) \left( \frac{\text{mol}^3}{m_{\text{ref}}^3 \text{mol}^3 m_{\text{homog}}^{-3}} \right) \]

This equation can be expressed in terms of effective enthalpies (ΔHₑffective) and entropies (ΔSₑffective) of formation of the transition state:

\[ k_{\text{eff}} = \frac{k_b T}{\hbar} \exp \left( -\frac{\Delta S_{\text{eff}}}{R} - \frac{\Delta H_{\text{eff}}}{RT} \right) \left( \frac{\text{mol}^3}{m_{\text{ref}}^3 \text{mol}^3 m_{\text{homog}}^{-3}} \right) \]

which are determined, in turn, from the temperature dependence of the measured rate constants. These thermodynamic properties reflect only those for transition states and gaseous reactants (from eqs 8 and 9 (or eqs 12 and 13)) and thus depend on the catalyst properties only through the adsorption or confinement of the transition state. ΔHₑffective and ΔSₑffective values determined from measured NO oxidation rates (section 3) for mesoporous and microporous silicate catalysts and for the homogeneous reactions are shown in Table 2.

Adsortion (on mesoporous silica) and confinement (within microporous silicates) enhance NO oxidation rates by stabilizing transition states (relative to the same gaseous NO and O₂ reactants as in the homogeneous routes) by the mere act of adsorbing or confining such transition states (eqs 12 and 13). Indeed, activation enthalpies are more negative on mesoporous silica than for homogeneous routes and even more so on microporous silicates (Table 2). Adsorption of confined molecules increase their entropies, leading to activation entropies that are also more negative on mesoporous silica and zeolites than for homogeneous routes (Table 2). In particular, the negative activation energies, evident from the upward sloping lines in Figures 1 and 2, reflect transition states that are enthalpically more stable than the gaseous reactants. In the case of the unconfined transition states that mediate homogeneous routes, the small negative enthalpy (−3.9 kJ mol⁻¹) reflects the incipient formation of chemical bonds and the concomitant electrostatic stabilization via induced dipoles as an O₂ molecule and two NO molecules (the latter with radical character) reach interaction distances.

The observed enthalpy differences (Table 2) correspond to the enthalpy of confinement of the homogeneous transition state, including any enthalpy changes caused by the rearrangement of its constituent atoms as a result of confinement. These enthalpies resemble those for the confinement of small alkanes within purely siliceous micropores. The volume of N₂O₄ used as a proxy for the transition state, is 0.106 nm³, while those for ethane and propane are 0.091 nm³ and 0.148 nm³, respectively (from their liquid densities). On SIL-1, adsorption enthalpies were −32 kJ mol⁻¹ for ethane, −42 kJ mol⁻¹ for propane (276–354 K) at low coverage, and on H-ZSM-5 −41 kJ mol⁻¹ for propane and −52 kJ mol⁻¹ for n-butane, similar to the confinement enthalpies of the NO oxidation transition state on siliceous zeolites (SIL-1 −33.6 and SIL-1D −35.6 kJ mol⁻¹; Table 3). Transition state confinement enthalpies become more negative as voids become smaller, as is also the case for small alkanes, because smaller voids provide more effective van der Waals contacts with the N₂O₄⁺ species that mediate NO oxidation.

The loss of entropy upon confinement of NO oxidation transition states is also reminiscent of the loss of degrees of freedom that accompanies the confinement of small molecules within zeolite voids. Activation entropies are large and negative even for unconfined transition states in homogeneous NO oxidation.
oxidation (−262.3 J mol−1 K−1), because of their termolecular stoichiometry. Adsorption on mesoporous silica or confinement within zeolite voids by the transition state leads to an additional entropy loss (from −33 to −47 J mol−1 K−1, Table 2) because translational modes become frustrated within voids of molecular size. The entropy of confinement of propane on SIL-1 is −90 J mol−1 K−1.42 These values are significantly more negative than for confinement of the NO oxidation transition state within microporous solids (−47 to −33 J mol−1 K−1); such differences reflects the additional vibrational and rotational modes in gaseous alkanes compared with N₂O₄-like transition states, which become frustrated upon confinement, causing their entropy losses upon confinement to be much larger than those for NO oxidation transition states.

The catalytic consequences of confinement reflect changes in the enthalpy and entropy of the transition state as a result of placing such species near surfaces and within voids of molecular dimensions, where intramolecular interactions occur in concert with van der Waals interactions with framework oxygens on silicate surfaces. Enthalpic stabilization by confinement or adsorption causes concomitant losses in entropy. As a result, confinement leads to rate enhancements only when such compensation effects decrease Gibbs free energies for transition states, which determine the dynamics of chemical transformations in the context of transition state theory. The functional form of the defining equation for the Gibbs free energy

\[ ΔG = ΔH − TΔS \]  

shows that the entropy losses that accompany enthalpic stabilization by confinement or adsorption become less consequential at low temperatures,43 causing enthalpic stabilization, specifically here for NO oxidation at near ambient temperatures, to prevail and to lead to the remarkable rate enhancements observed. NO oxidation at near ambient temperatures serves as a striking example of physical catalysis, which cause chemical transformations to occur at faster rates merely as a consequence of nonspecific van der Waals interactions, which stabilize the relevant transition state without requiring specific binding to a catalytically active site.

5. CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated that high surface area silicas and crystalline microporous zeolites (MFI, CHA and BEA) are all effective catalysts for the oxidation of NO by dioxygen to form NO₂ in the temperature range 278−435 K, despite the absence of a well-defined active site for the binding of reactants and products. The observed NO oxidation rates are much higher (by factors of 10⁶) than homogeneous reaction rates. The measurements show reaction orders of 1 and 2 in NO and O₂, respectively, that are the same observed for the gas-phase homogeneous NO oxidation. This indicates that NO oxidation reaction proceeds via elementary steps reminiscent of those in homogeneous reactions, but with selective stabilization of the relevant termolecular transition states via physical confinement. Areal reaction rates are higher on the microporous materials than on the amorphous silica under all the conditions investigated (278−435 K). The apparent activation energy is negative in all cases. The precise structure of transition states, as well as the details of the reaction paths that lead to the formation of the transition states, are not accessible through the kinetic measurements reported herein. Nonetheless, the measured rate constants, that relate the kinetically relevant transition state to the gas phase reactants, can be analyzed within the framework of the transition state theory. This yields negative enthalpies of formation of the transition states (−31 to −41.2 kJ mol−1) as well as negative entropies of formation (−262.3 to −309.2 J mol−1 K−1) with respect to the gas phase reactants.

Such values show that the observed catalytic reaction rates are due to the enthalpic stabilization of the transition states that more than compensate for the kinetic costs of the negative entropy of formation of the transition states within the materials’ micropores.
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